10 Ja\*

## LETTER

L TO THE 13

## Revd Mr. LAW,

COUNCIL for the COMMITTEE of CONVOCATION, and the Two Famous UNIVERSITIES; in a CAUSE depending between Them and the Bishop of BANGOR.

By a FREE-THINKER at Oxford.

The Third Edition.



Philalethes,

LONDON,

Printed for J. Roberts, near the Oxford-Arms in Warwick-Lane. MDCCXIX.

Price One Shilling.

Council for the Commi O LONVOCATAGOVES ITAN bus med l NICVM

By a Pake Thinker of O fool.

The Third Edition.

LONDOK

· Printed for T. Roberts, ugar the Oxfort Asynite Harrick Love. MDCCXIX. True meshiller.

he some Schiffmaticks, and Some Fall



volumen and thereby, dilowning Challien Doct Ace of infinited Raf-

sons and on these Non-resultance

Mr. LAW, &c.

SIR, and the sale of the sale of



OU may think it fomething strange to be troubled with an Address of this Nature, from a Place, where You have fo many hearty Friends

and Clients in the Caufe now depending against the Bishop of Banger; but, alas! we find, by daily Experience, that the best cultivated Gardens are not without some Weeds, that under the purest Church-Establishments there will

A 2

be some Schismaticks, and some False Brethren in the most united Societies!

Our two famous Universities have constantly (tho' perhaps, not quite so confistently) been Nursing-Mothers to the Church and Clergy; one or two little Miscarriages, indeed, they have been guilty of, by feemingly acceding to the Revolution, and, thereby, difowning the Christian Doctrines of unlimited Pasfive Obedience, and absolute Non-resistance, in direct Contradiction to a famous DE-CREE, which, but a few Days before, they had folemnly fubscrib'd; and by still outwardly submitting to a Government, built on the same wicked Foundation: Thefe, I say, are little Miscarriages; for the common People are strangely carry'd away with romantick Notions of the inviolable Nature Oaths, Promifes, Abjurations, &c. and fo, too often, judge of the inward Dispositions by outward Professions: But those of more penetrating Understandings look deeper than the Out-side of Things, and find, that such Cobweb-Engagements are mere Bugbears, to be dispens'd with at Pleasure, and only to be kept whilft there is no convenient Opportunity of breaking thro' 'em to Advantage. in blidereine cherchild

Thus

Thus we make a Shew, indeed, of renouncing our former Opinions, and of adhering to what we have sworn to adhere; but this is only a Blind to carry on a different Interest with better Success; our Pulpits still thunder with the same Doctrines which we have so often abjur'd, and we are still ready to let loose the Terrours of our Authority upon any One, who shall insolently pretend to condemn what we All solemnly condemn, and to justify those Principles by which we have All equally conducted our selves.

Thus again, by opposing King James, we feem'd to oppose all Popery, and all Ecclesiastical Tyranny; but, in Truth, we opposed only Romish Popery, and Ramish Ecclesiastical Tyranny: Had King James thought fit to fet up an Infallibility at Lambeth, instead of submitting to that at Rome, and to recognize the Authority of our Clergy at Home, instead of that Abroad, we should have been very Passive under all this: But we found, that not only a foreign Religion, but a Crowd of foreign Priests also were introduc'd amongst us, to eat the Bread out of our Mouths, and to fatten on our Prefer-This dreadful Apprehension ments: forc'd Nature, at length, to rebel against Principle

Principle, and prevailed on us to facri-fice, for once, our State-Principles, in Order to keep the Good Things of the

Church in our own Hands.

Having fent away King James, and fix'd the Prince of Orange in the Throne, we expected that he could do no less than Yeturn the Complement, by may king us Spiritual Kings as we had made Him a Temporal one : But when we found that we had mistaken our Man. and that He scorn'd to tyrannize Himfelf, or to let us tyrannize, we prefently grew fick of our Deliverer, and lifted up our Hands, our Eyes, and our Hearts to Heaven for an happy RE-STOKATION.

How Matters have stood with us ever fince that remarkable Period of Time. is well known; but, especially, for the four Years last past, the Church has been in imminent Danger from the Protestant Succession, and is never like to be out of it until She has a Popif Defender: Nay, fuch consistent Protestants are we, and fuch staunch, True-horn-Englishmen, that we have lately feen One Man publickly censur'd for the heretical Use of \* light Scripture Expressions, and another advanc'd to an eminent Station

<sup>\*</sup> Vide Preface to Mr. Maurice's Sermon.

of Honour and Profit, merely for declaring his Aversion to Outlandish Tur-

or Oblinsev

nips.

Since the present Controversy begun, we have distinguish'd our selves in a very remarkable Manner for the Church, by railing at the Bishop of Banger, and calling him Regue and Raseal in our publick Schools, and in private Conversation, in the Street and in the Pulpit, in Taverns and Bawdy-Houses, in Season and out of Season, from one End

of the Year to the other.

There cannot, I think, be a stronger, presumptive Proof that we do not inwardly and fincerely espouse what we openly profess, than the great Encouragement You meet with amongst us: That unanimous Confent to appoint you our Advocate in fo important a Cause, that extraordinary Zeal and Application to promote your Interest, and that uncommon Number of Persons who became your Subscribers, amount to little less than a Demonstration that we have very powerful, fecret Affections to the Cause you are engag'd in, as well as to your own Personal Merits, whatever frail Pretences we may make to the centrary.

There are Exceptions; for, as I obferv'd to you, some False Brethren there will will be in the most united Societies; some, who, out of Pride and Self-conceit, or Interest, or Obstinacy, or Folly, Infatuation, and Enthusiasm, will set themselves up against the rest, and create Schisms and Divisions in the Community, to the Disturbance of all about 'em: Perhaps, I am such a one my self; at least, it is Ten to one but you think me so; Sir, you have my free Leave to think what you please, and to say what you please; I shall take the same Liberty my self, and so I proceed.

It cannot but be Matter of great Satisfaction and Triumph to You and your Friends in England, Spain, Rome, and elsewhere, to see two Universities, and a numerous Body of Clergy (your and their specious Enemies) join with you so heartily and zealously against a Man, who, thro' the whole Course of his Life, has been a strenuous Assertor of the Principles of Liberty and the Revolution, and has consounded the great Champions of your Cause with invincible Strength of Reason and Argument.

I must do you the Justice to confess, that after all the various Attempts which have been made to put to Silence this formidable Adversary of the Church, you have, at last, found out for your Clients the most probable Method

thod to do it; what alone could have done it effectually, AUTHORITY, and the Powers of this World, were invidiously check'd in their Religious Career: In vain the Cavils of Art and Sophistry, in vain the Colours and Glosses of Rhetorick, in vain malicious Infinuations, industrious Mifreprefentations, and bold concerted Calumnies, have been made Use of for this Purpose: The Fallacies of Sophistry are easily discover'd; the Flowers of Rhetorick ferve only to amuse the weak and Ignorant; Infinuations and Mifrepresentations may be detected and expos'd, and Calumnies disprov'd to the Confusion of their Authors: But SUBscriptions are unanswerable and definitive.

This new Method of Controversy puts me in Mind of the Gentlemen-Combatants at the Bear-Garden, who will be well paid before they enter the Lists; and I doubt not but we shall, in a short Time, have many a learned Tryal of Skill in Divinity, for the Diversion of the Publick, and the Benefit of the Religious Combatants: It may prove of wondrous Service to all Non-Jurors and unprefer'd Clergy-Men, who have nothing else to do, and keep many an

Orthodox Family from Starving.

Besides

Besides these private Advantages which will accrue to the respective Disputants by this Invention, it may likewise prove of the greatest Use and Moment to the World in general; for as the Case stands at present, there is no possible Way of bringing a Controverfy to a final Decision, because every individual Person in the World assumes to himself a Power to judge and determine it according to his own particular Opinion; just as in Elections for Parliament, we might whoop, and halloo, and tofs our Hats about to Eternity, before all Parties wou'd agree, and fo could have no Representatives at all, if the Suffrage of every individual Free-holder was necessary: wherefore You reasonably project, that as in Elections for Parliament-Men, so in Controversies of Faith, He who has a Majority of Votes, i. e. Subscriptions, should carry his Point.

Thus shall we be able to supply the Absence of the Convocation, and, in spight of the arbitrary Interpositions of the Civil Power, keep up an Authority of Some over OTHERS in Matters of Religion, and restore in great Measure

the UNITY of the Church.

This Method of appealing to a Majority of Subscriptions in Religious Disputes, putes, may, I think, in the strictest Sense, be term'd, THE NATIONAL CONVOCATION.

es

re

yd

r

-

1 :

You fee, Sir, that I applaud the Method in which You chuse to defend your Clients, and agree perfectly with You, that You have brought their Cause to the most advantagious Issue You possibly could. It is in vain to plead in a bad Cause; the best Way is to secure a Jury for the Purpose; nay, it fortunately happens, that, in this Case, above half of the Jury consists of your own Clients, and it would be very strange for them to bring in a wrong Verdict.

By this means You shorten the usual Prolixity of modern Controversies, and free us from the low and tedious Employment of examining a perplex'd Train of Arguments, and of writing long-winded Answers and Replies; 'tis but to propose your Doctrine, and put it about amongst your Friends, and You will find enow to subscribe it as greedily and as implicitly as they do other Articles of Religion.

I can think of but one Objection against this concise Way of Reasoning, which is, that it must necessarily be a great Hinderance to the Paper Manufacture, and, consequently, lessen the Revenues to the King: But such little

B 2 Incon-

Inconveniencies must be over-look'd for the Good of the Church.

nience, the Patrons of your New Scheme, besides the publick and private Advantages already mention'd, might point out One of no small Consequence, (viz.) the Encouragement it will give to many great Wits and polite Authors, who are now cramp'd in their Talents, and oblig'd to keep whole Volumes in their Scritoires unpublish'd, by Reason of the present Charges of the Press, which are grown to an extravagant Height by the Multiplicity of our polemical Writers.

But to return; I am now convinc'd of what before I look'd upon as mere Complement or Romance, that You are a very confiderable and, indeed, unanswerable Writer: I cannot help thinking but that you had communicated this Project, this Ratio ultima of the Controverfy, to your worthy Friend the Dean of Chichester, which made Him so bold and unreserv'd in an Affertion that founded very odd at its first Appearance in the World: However that be. I am willing to own my felf mistaken, and shall, for the future, judge with more Caution, after I have been deceiv'd in so palpable a Manner,

I will, however, just look into your late celebrated Performance, and make some few Observations upon it, according to the usual old fashion'd Method, tho' (as appears from what has been said) it can signify nothing: But I think it excuseable for the following Reasons.

1. Because I have nothing else to do.

2. Because I want to be an Author

as well as your felf.

3. Because it is very probable that the Bishop will not Himself take the Trouble of Writing to no Purpose against a Man that is unanswerable, and has Two Famous Universities, and a formidable Body of Clergy for his Seconds.

And, 4. To demonstrate the great Use of Subscriptions in Controversy, and show how the weakest Writer, by the Help of 'em, be-

comes unanswerable.

You begin, Sir, with a smart, satyrical Introduction, in which You inform his Lordship (of what he knew as well as your self) that this is the Third Trouble You have given him, in Order to prove him No Christian; and seem in a Fret that he has not thought fit to give You any distinct Answer, roundly affirming

affirming (depending, I suppose, on the implicit Belief of your Subscribers) that his Lordship excus'd himself from that Trouble by despising You and your Arguments. It is not alamode to give any Man the plain Lye, however provok'd; but I must beg Leave to tell You, that You are positively mistaken, that You know You are mistaken, that You intended and refolv'd to be mistaken: For his Lordship, You know as well as I, expresly declares, that He never endeavour'd to lessen the Weight of your Arguments, by throwing any personal Contempt upon You. But, perhaps, You may think that calling the learned Committee, and your Friend the Dean of Chichester, more considerable than your felf, is throwing personal Contempt upon You. - You know that his Lordship excuses himself from that Trouble by many other good Reasons, different from what You have put into his Mouth, - but You consider'd that many People know nothing of all this, who will not suspect that You impose upon Them.

In Answer to this personal Contempt, supposed to be thrown upon You by his Lordship, You say, Page 2, My Lord, I readily confess that I have neither Reputation, nor Learning, nor any Title to

recom-

ti

recommend me to your Lordship's Notice. Which is as much as to fay, Since your Lordship has been pleas'd to throw personal Contempt upon me, I am resolv'd to be even with You, by throwing personal Contempt upon my self. You proceed. But I must own that I thought the very Want of These would - raise your Esteem of me, as a Correspondent in these Matters. If it be so, that Want of Reputation and Learning, &c. are sufficient Qualifications to recommend a Writer to his Lordship, and that his Lordship is oblig'd to give Answers to all fuch, there is Work enough cut out for him till Doomsday, if he had ten thousand Hands. But how would the very Want of These intitle You in particular to a Reply from his Lordship, amongst so many others? Why even thus; which I shall put into a Syllogifm, to shew You that I have some Learning, tho' (God knows) very little Reputation.

If the Want of Reputation and Learning recommends a Man to his Lordship for a Correspondent, and intitles him to a Reply; then he certainly has the best Title to a Reply, in whom the Want of These
does most evidently appear.

t

e

y d,

n-

But

But the Want of these does most evidently appear in the Reverend Mr. Law. Therefore, &c.

Thus, Sir, have You really thrown personal Contempt upon your self, whilst you unjustly complain that his Lord-

fhip has done it.

I cannot forbear observing, in this Place, that our Orthodox People would all on a fudden appear to be very modest, and to have the lowest and most resign'd Opinions of their own dear felves; but their Conduct convinces me that there is a Sort of Self-Humiliation which exceeds the most exalted Arrogance; like that of a certain Holy Gentleman in the \* Play, (your especial good Friend) who being ask'd who he was, answer'd very meekly; Your most obedient and devoted Slave, Slave, Sir, and yet for all that I shall knock you down, if you don't kiss my Toe, and make a Bonfire of your Bones, if you are not of my Mind. Thus a Reverend Divine, not long ago, in his great Humility, profes'd himself an unworthy Minister, without any Capacities or Abilities, whilst he was, at the fame Time, infolently abusing a worthy

1

a

h

to

fo

R

Co

for

<sup>\*</sup> Masquerade.

Prelate of the most uncommon Capacities and Abilities; and You, Sir, tread in his Steps; for after the despicable Opinion You pretend to have of your own Merit, You fall foul on Mr. Sykes, a Gentleman of great Learning and Reputation amongst All but your self, your

Clients, and Subfcribers.

n

t

s

đ

y

n

1-

f-

st

r-

ır

'd

ie,

I

ny

es,

e-

is

an

ci-

he

by

te

And now, Sir, permit me to alk one Question: With what Assurance can You expect any Replies from his Lordship, when you readily confess that You bave neither Learning, nor Reputation, nor any Title to recommend You to bis Notice; and yet your felf over-look all your Adversaries, who have Learning, and Reputation, and many other good Titles, to recommend 'em to your Notice? To me, I confess, it seems very strange and unreasonable, that You should expect Answers from his Lordship, when, even in your own Opinion, You have no manner of Title to 'em; and yet refuse to answer others, who have not been quite so modest. --- But to some People nothing can seem unreasonable, which they have subscrib'd.

His Lordship, in his Answer to the Representation, bid us look into the Popish Countries; and see whether one illiterate bonest Man be not as capable of judging for himself in Religion, as all their learn-

together in a General Council, with all possible Marks of Solemnity and Grandeur. And this, You say, we may fairly suppose was intended to show his Contempt of the English Convocation. I fear your Clients, the learned Committee-Men, will hardly thank You for this Supposal; it was not certainly in your Brief: For I will maintain, that, if there be not a very broad Difference between a Popish Council and a Protestant Convocation, every honest Man ought to shew his Contempt of one as well as the other.

After this facetious and confistent Introduction, You proceed to the most impartial Examination of his Lordship's Book, that You possibly can. You promise very fairly; I wish, with all my Heart, that You will perform as well.

And first, of the Church: His Lordship's Description of it is, it seems, too much Spiritualiz'd for You and your Clients; (viz.) The Number of Men, whether Small or Great, whether dispers'd or united, who truly and sincerely are Subjects to Christ alone in Matters of Salvation. \* You observe, that the learned Committee calls this his Lordship's Description of A Church, i. e. perhaps,

<sup>\*</sup> Answ. to Repr. p. 70.

of A particular material Church, i. e. of Stone-Walls and a tow'ring Steeple; and who can help what the learned Com-

mittee are pleas'd to call it?

et

11

7.

-

t

r

1

t

r

3

S

3

To render it, at least, probable, that this was the Meaning of the learned Committee, give me Leave to tell You a Story, actually founded in Fact, and without any Embellishments in the Relation.

When the present Controversy was first begun, I happen'd to be at a learned Justice's of the Quorum in Essex; I dined, and after Dinner smoak'd a Pipe with his Worship, and several other neighbouring Gentlement: At last, we dropt into a Dispute about the Bishop of Bangor; the learned Justice, and his Friends, were all very zealous against his late Doctrines, and unanimously agreed that He was no Friend to The Church: I took the Liberty to defend his Lordship, and calmly desir'd 'em to explain what they understood by The Church; upon which they all laugh'd excessively, and star'd at one another with Marks of the utmost Astonishment; I still insisted on it, that they would let me know what they meant by The Church: At last, says the learned Juflice, with a Cough and a Smile, why, Sir, The Church is The Church, and, C 2 rifing

rifing from his Chair, open'd the Window, and pointing with his Pipe to a fumptuous Fabrick not far off, faid, with a grave Tone, look yonder, Sir, and if you are not Blind, You may fee what we mean by The Church, and return'd to his Seat with great Satisfaction. I was struck Dumb with Surprize, and had not a Word to say against such Ocular Demonstration.

To return. No, fay You, not of A particular material Church, but of A particular establish'd Church: Now he must be very much blinded with Subfcriptions, who does not fee that it is (with all due Deference to fo venerable a Body) as ridiculous for the learned Committee to call his Lordship's Words a Description of A particular establiso'd Church, as of A particular material Church; for they are equally capable of being interpreted, a Description of one as of the other; of A particular material Church, as of A particular establish'd Church ; of A particular, compacted Body of Stone-Walls, as of A particular compacted Body of Christians.

Well, all this may be very true; but then, fay You again; may not we wonder, my Lord, that You should so describe The Church, that it will not bear being call'd A Church? Sir, it is plain

that

that You may wonder at a great many Things without any Reason: For my Part, I can think it no Matter of Wonder, that his Lordship should so describe the universal invisible Church, that it will not bear being called A particular visible Church; unless you will say, that there is no Difference between the Part and the Whole, between human Laws, and the Gospel of Christ, and that All who outwardly profess the Name of Christ, and call themselves his Subjects, do inwardly, and truly, and fincerely, believe in Him, and are inwardly, and truly, and fincerely, his Subjects. that when You say, it is a Description of + Something between A Church and No Church, i. e. of neither Something, nor Nothing, You talk like one who is fure to be believ'd in all his Abfurdities and all his Impertinence,

You now begin to grow warm in the Argument, and in the Hurry of your Zeal, against an invisible Church, run on, like one just out of his Senses, for thirty or forty Pages together, about invisible Lawyers, invisible Physicians, invisible Scriptures, invisible Sacraments, invisible Revelations, invisible Bishops, invisible Dioceses, invisible Kings, and

4

s

r

7

-

r, 4

t

<sup>+</sup> Page 7.

invisible Civil Governments; 'till, at last, You grow invisible your felf, and involve every Thing about You in a

Cloud of INVISIBILITIES.

One or two of your Instances of Invisibility are very remarkable. You fay, + suppose, my Lord, any one should affirm, that there is an — INVISIBLE Bishop of Bangor. - It is an old Saying, There is many a true Word spoken in fest; and, for ought I know, in the prefent Case, it may be a true Saying. I have often heard that your Friends beyond Sea have taken Care to fee all our Bishopricks in England and Ireland doubly Mann'd; and have nominated a Sett of invisible Bishops, to perpetuate valid Orders from Generation to Generation, and fecure the regular uninterrupted Succession of Clergy compleat, in Case our visible Ones should take it in their Heads to throw up their divine Commissions; which some People think not altogether improbable. You add, "Who is " the only true Bishop of Bangor, in the " Mouth of a Christian. You mean, in the Mouth of a Non-Juror. Seriously, Mr. Law, are not you this invisible Bishop of Bangor your self? Some of your late Writings favour very much of the

<sup>+</sup> Page 23.

Asperity of a Rival. But whoever He be, the Supposal is nothing to your Purpose; for I desire to know whether this invisible Bishop of Bangor (supposing there is such a one) is inconsistent with the Existence of the visible Bishop of Bangor; or, whether it signifies a Groat to the visible Bishop of Bangor, whether he has, in the World, any such invisible Rival or not.

Another Instance of Invisibility is that of an \* invisible King of Great Britain, by which I suppose You would have us understand your Lord and Master, the Wretch, no Body knows where; as by the invisible Bishop of Bangor, You seem

to mean your felf.

,

i

e

d

<u>-</u>

y

f

r-

n,

c-

ır

ds

s;

e-

is

be in

V.

3iur he

ity

To proceed; His Lordship says, & I laid down a Description of the UNIVER-SAL INVISIBLE Church, or Kingdom of Christ. To which You smartly Reply, If Your Lordship had been as well employ'd, if You had been PAINTING OF SPIRITS, or, WEIGHING OF THOUGHTS. And to which, in my Turn, I will as smartly Reply; that Painting of Spirits, his Lordship leaves to those who are more conversant in the Air than himself, and by their extraordinary Quick-Sighted-

<sup>\*</sup> Page 34. † Answ. to Repr. p. 78.

<sup>|</sup> Page 34.

mess, have of late discover'd great Wonders in those losty Regions, to the inexpressible Consolation of many afflicted Consciences: And, as for weighing of Thoughts, it would have been well for You if You had employ'd your self in that Practice too, instead of upbraiding his Lordship with doing it. Did but all People use themselves to weighing of Thoughts as much as his Lordship has done, we should not have been plagu'd with so many Volumes of Inconsistency, Nonsense, Absurdity, and Contradiction, as we have of late been.

We shall next see the Weakness and Infignificancy of his Lordship's Writings. You alk him, \* What can be more extraordinary, than that a visible Bishop, at a visible Court, (this visible and invisible runs in your Head strangely!) should with so much Solemnity, preach in Defence of a Church which can neither be defended nor injur'd? And again; can the Malice of any Men attack it, or the Good-Will of any Men support it? No ---Why then all these Fears for a Church which cannot be in Danger? And why have the learned Committee been fo zealous in the Defence of what cannot be defended? But I mistake; their

a I fo u

Ъ

C ri

ar

te

<sup>\*</sup> Page 36.

n-

n-

9-

nø

11

1f

d-

id

b-

d-

en

n-

d

n.

id

Si

à

a

le

ld

ce

d-

be

1-

h

y

n-

ir

n

Concern is for the visible Church of England, which, by the Malice of some Men, may be attack'd, and, by the Good-Will of others, may be supported; and so may the invisible Church, or I am very much mistaken; for if the invisible Church be the Number of Men, whether small or great, &c. or, in other Words, exists in the Conscience of Integrity, and the inward Sincerity of the Heart; one would be apt to think, that to exercise an Authority over Conscience, and to force the inward Belief, is to injure the invisible Church of Christ, and that to grant free Liberty of Confcis ence, is to support it. Will you fay, that all the Cruelties of the Inquisition, in Italy and Spain, do no Injury to the invisible Church of Christ? At least, you will hardly fay fo openly, whatever you may privately think; and whatever Methods tend, in any Degree, to destroy that Liberty of Conscience, with which Christ has made us Free, tend also (not only to injure but) intirely to destroy the invisible Church of Christ. But this is downright Heresy and Free-thinking to you, and fo I shall drop it.

You have unluckily let fall one Sentence, from which one may collect whence proceeds your Contempt of an D invisible invisible Church. \* "Are there any Rights "in your invisible Church, which can "possibly be lost? If not, to what Purpose "does your Lordship come in as a De- fender? By Rights, I suppose, you mean good Bishopricks, commodious Sine-Cures, and such-like temporal Chattels: For Rights of another Kind it certainly has; so that I conclude your Quarrel with the invisible Church of Christ arises from its being no Rich Church.

You are very witty upon his Lordship in the next Paragraph, when you rell him, should the same Christian Zeal induce your Lordship to appear at some other solemn Occasion, in the Cause of the Winds, your Pains would be as well employ'd; for it would be as reasonable to defire that they might rife and blow where they lift, as that an invisible Church. no where to be known or found by us at present, may not be injur'd. When some Orthodox Champion against Liberty shall, in his great godly Zeal, claim an absolute Authority over the Winds, and take upon him feriously to bid them rife and blow (not where they lift, but) to one particular Point, it may, on fuch an Occasion, be necessary to appear in their Cause, and affert their ancient Liberty

<sup>\*</sup> Page 36.

berty of rifing and blowing where they lift: But at present, as no such Champion has thought it his Duty to appear against them, so I think you have thrown away a great deal of good

Wit to no Purpose.

hts

can

ofe

De-

ou

ne-

ls:

ly

rel

a-

1.5.0

rd-

OU

eal

me

of

as

n-

W

h,

at

ne

rty

m

ls,

m

t)

h

in

i-

The next Thing remarkable, which I shall take Notice of, is your critical Examination of his Lordship's Text, You observe, that by the Words, my Kingdom is not of this World, our Saviour has not declar'd what his Kingdom is, but only what it is not, which you illustrate by a very farcastical Parallel, thus; \* " If A Person should say, " that his Belief was not the Belief of " the Church of England, would be, in " these Words, declare the Nature of " his Belief? Would it not still be un-" certain, whether he was an Arian or " Socinian, or something different from "em both? Or, as I shall put it, If a Person should declare that his Occupation was not the Occupation of a Taylor, would he, in these Words, declare the Nature of his Occupation? would it not still be uncertain, whether he was a Barber or a Shoe-maker, or something different from 'em both ? ---"Thus our Saviour faying that his King-

dom

<sup>\*</sup> Page 40.

dom is not of this World, no more declares the Nature of his Kingdom, than a Person, by saying such a one was not bis Son, would, in these Words, declare how many Children he had. Well argu'd! O! unanswerable Mr. Law.

My Kingdom is not of this World are, it seems, very \* indeterminate Words, and capable of several Meanings Dear Sir, are they, amongst all the Meanings they are capable of, capable of meaning my Kingdom is of this World? Can you make 'em fignify a temporal Kingdom, or interpret 'em fo, as to justify temporal Rewards and Punishments in spiritual Matters? One would, indeed, be apt to agree with you, that the Words are very indeterminate, since so many learned Persons have, with abundance of Zeal and Pains, endeavour'd to give 'em different Significations. Dr. Snape fays, that Christ's Church is not his Kingdom, any more than a Part is the Whole. The reverend Mr. Trapp fays, that the Kingdom of Christ is not, indeed, of this World, but it is in this World; i. e. It is not originally instituted of this World, but it is now usurp'd by some Men in this World. Mr. Marsden, Archdeacon of Nottingbam,

<sup>#</sup> Page 40.

le-

an

ot e-

ll

re,

ds,

he

a-

ris

a so,

u-

ne

th er-

ns

ns,

g-

an

Ir,

ift

lly

ow

ld.

778,

eş

gives it another Turn; he fays, that the Text is only a cunning evafive Answer to a dangerous home-put Question; and that our blessed Saviour did not intend his Disciples should receive it for Gospel. You, indeed, say nothing of this Prevarication of our Saviour; but you fay, that he only deny'd himself to be the temporal King of the Fems, which is as false, tho' not quite fo impious and blasphemous as the other: For he not only deny'd himself to be the temporal King of the fews, but also to be any other Sort of temporal King. Had he intended only to deny himself to be the temporal King of the fews, he would not have spoken in such indirect general Words, as, my Kingdom is not of this World; but would rather have answer'd directly to Pin late's Question, which was, art thou the King of the Jews? By the Nature of the Question, and the Answer to it, it is very plain, that our Saviour denies to his Kingdom all temporal Authority; for he had not found out the Way of supporting a Kingdom not of this World by worldly Methods, nor intended to make himself a temporal spiritual King.

You may, therefore, fix what farfetch'd Meanings you please on those unorthodox unorthodox Words, in Defence of your Clients, but with us Free-thinkers it will fignify nothing; for we are verily a fiff-neck'd Generation, and so long as we have it out of the Mouth of our Bleffed Saviour himself, that his Kingdom is not of this World, (in which Declaration we are willing to believe him sincere) you will never perswade us that it ought to be supported by Fire and

Faggot.

You make your felf very merry on this Occasion, and express your Astonishment, how his Lordship was able to demonstrate, that the Church of Christ has no Power to hang, burn, massacre, imprison, harrass, incapacitate, &c. from that \* one little NEGATIVE, that it is not a temporal Kingdom. To which it may be answer'd, that you may as well express your Astonishment, that any Men should preach against Picking of Pockets, or Robbing on the High-Way, from that one little Negative, Thou shalt not steal. But we must allow you to be ludicrous, when there is no Room to be ferious; especially, when the Prosperity of the Church depends on the Poignancy of your Ridicule.

And

<sup>#</sup> Page 42.

And thus, Sir, having stated the Nature of the Church, and rectify'd his Lordship's erroneous Account of it, you go on to consider what Authority the Governors of the Church are invested with. And here, you say, you have little else to do, but to clear it from those false Characters, under which his Lordship has been pleas'd to describe it. His Lordship is seldom wont to describe Things under false Characters; most People think it his Missortune, that he is too busy in taking off salse Characters, and describing Things under their plain true ones.

n

-

-

t

d

n

)-

le

st

e,

m

15

it

25

at

ng

rb-

ou

ou

om

he

on

nd

But let us see what these false Characters are, from which you are now going to clear the Authority of the Church. His Lordship argues, \* If there be an Authority in any to judge, censure, or punish the Servants of another Master, in Matters relating purely to Conscience and eternal Salvation, then Christ has left behind him Judges over the Consciences and Religion of his People; then the Consciences and Religion of his People are subject to them, whom he has left Judges over them; and then there is a Right and Authority in some Christians to determine the Religion and Con*sciences* 

<sup>\*</sup> Answ. to Repr. p. 27.

friences of others. And what is more, if the Decisions of any Men can be made to concern and affect the State of Christ's Subjects, with Regard to the Favour of God; then the eternal Salvation of some Christians depends upon the Sentence pas-

To which you reply, That in this same strict Way of Reasoning, and by only using his Lordship's Words, you will as plainly prove, that a Father has not Authority even to fend his Children of an Errand. Which you do thus: if the Clergy have no Authority in Matters purely relating to Conscience, then a Father has no Authority in Matters purely relating to Motion; and, therefore, a Father has no Authority to fend his Children on an Errand.

Thus stands your Argument; and you add, I freely leave it to the Judgment of COMMON SENSE, whether I have not, in your own Words, proved it as abfurd and unreasonable, that a Father should have any Power over his Son, fo as to fend bim of an Errand, as to allow the Church to have Authority in Matters of Conscience and Salvation. For God's Sake, have nothing to do. with Common Sense; it is, next to Scrip-

ture,

<sup>+</sup> Reply, p. 53.

ture, the worst Thing in the World you can appeal to: It has been the great Disturber of the Church for many Centuries; it produc'd the Reformation, and has been, ever since, the most irreconcileable Enemy to the Power of the Clergy. Leave Common Sense to Laymen, and their Instructors to Hereticks and Free-Thinkers, and you will

do well enough.

le

5

f

e

3

y

t

f

4

a

S

1

5

d

-

Í

t

-

,

11

n

.

9:

,

Rather than give up all Claim to Authority over Conscience, you are, at last, content to take up with a conditional one, and tugg hard to demonstrate, that there may be in the Church an Authority over the Souls and Consciences of Men, without its being an absolute one; and for this Purpose you produce several Instances in civil Matters, as of a Mafter, a Father, and a Prince, who have particular Authorities over their respective Servants, Children, and Subjects, which are not absolute Authorities, but limited and conditional ones: And then ask, + Why the Governors of the Church may not have a limited conditional Authority in Matters of Conscience? I answer, for this plain Reason, because there is a wide Diffetence between temporal and spiritual Things,

<sup>†</sup> Page \$7.

Things, which you feem intirely ignorant of. A Father, for Instance, has Authority to fend his Children on an Errand, but no Authority to bid 'em break their Necks down a Precipice: In like Manner, you fay, there may be, in the Church, a limited Authority over Christians in Matters of Conscience; I alk, by what is it limited? If by Scripture, I fay fo too; for that is only to fay, that the Church has an Authority over Christians to make 'em believe. what, as Christians, they do believe, and without which, they cannot be Christians, and which, therefore, they would believe, were there in the Church no fuch Authority. I give you and your Clients Joy of an Authority which fignifies nothing.

I will take Notice but of one Thing more under this Head; and that is your Parallel between the Reformation and the Revolution. His Lordship having ask'd, how the Reformation can it self be justify'd, if there be (not as you are pleas'd to make him speak, a Church Authority, but) a Church Authority, to oblige Men to a particular Communion, a Power of some over others in this Case; a Right of Excommunication, so as to affect Men's eternal Salvation. You start into

into another violent Fit of Wonder, that his Lordship should be at a Loss. to justify the Reformation, even suppofing there was in the Church of Rome fuch an Authority; fince he has writren such a famous Treatise in Justification of the Revolution, and the Reliftance of the real Authority of King Fames. That is, I cannot but wonder, my Lord, that you should be at a Loss to justify the Reformation, even fupposing there was in the Church of Rome an absolute Authority, since you have written fo famous a Treatife in Justification of the Revolution and the Resistance of the Authority of King Fames, upon the Supposition, that it was not absolute. But instead of vindicating his Lordship in this particular, (if any one can think he needs a Vindication) I will beg Leave to try your Parallel in another Light wov or pribross

and professedly disown the Justice and Legality of the Revolution, because it was founded in the Resistance of a real Authority; you contend that there was, at the Reformation, a real Authority in the Church; and consequently, for the same Reasons that you condemn the

E 2 Revolu-

no-

has

Er-

eak

ike

he

ver

; I

ip-

to

ty

/e,

re,

be

ey

cb

ır

g

r

d

g

e

b

-

a

Revolution, because it was founded in the Resistance of a real Authority, you must also condemn the Reformation, because it was founded in the Resistance

of a real Authority.

You argue; | - Supposing an Authority in the Church, will not Tyranny, Breach of Fundamentals, and unlawful Forms of Communion, defend our Departure from a real Authority in the Church, as well as any Grievances or Oppressions will defend our leaving a real Authority in the State? I have already observ'd, that the real Authority, which we left in the State at the Revolution, is quite different from that real Authority you suppose to be in the Church at the Reformation. But farther; it is plain that, according to you, Grievancies and Oppressions do not defend our leaving a real Authority in the State; and therefore, according to you, Tyranny, Breach of Fundamentals, and unlawful Terms of Communion, cannot defend our Departure from a real Authority in the Church! Grievancies and Oppressions do not, according to you, defend the Revolution; and therefore Tyranny, Breach of, &c. cannot, according to you, defend the Reformation. I another smill

You add, \* My Lord, I don't urge this to shere either that the Revolution and Reformation, are equally justifiable, or, that they both are to be justify'd upon the same Reasons - Sir, you urge this to shew that the Revolution and Reformation are equally unjustifiable, and that they are both to be condemn'd upon the same Reasons. King Fames had a real Civil Authority before the Revolution, and the Church had a real Spiritual Authority before the Reformation: You contend that Grievancies and Oppressions do not justify our leaving the real Civil Authority of King James at the Revolution; and consequently, according to you, Tyranny, Breach of, &c. cannot justify our Departure from the real Spiritual Authority of the Church at the Reformation. A glorious Advocate for a Protestant Convocation and Two Protestant Universities!

You proceed in the next Section to discover † a remarkable Evasion of his Lordship's, in Relation to Church Authority. His Lordship, in his Sermon, had deny'd to Men all Church Authority, to make any of their own Declarations or Decisions to concern or affect the State of Christ's

Anim. to Repr. 7. 26. 7

n

u

-

e

1-

1

-

1,

IŚ

,

t

u

t,

1-

a

b

f

e

0

6

-

<sup>\*</sup> Page 75.

<sup>†</sup> Page 90.

Christ's Subjects, with Regard to the Favour of God. Hereupon, the learned Committee charge him with denying all Authority to the Church. His Lordfhip answers, that he denies to Church no Authority, but what affects the Consciences and Salvation of Christians. For, fays he, \* as (Christ) himfelf appointed the Terms and Conditions, on which his Subjects are intitled to the Favour of God; so is he bimself, to whom all fudgment is committed by the Father, the fole Judge of their Behaviour. That is, as he || explains it afterwards, by whose Sentence alone it is determin'd, whether a Person shall enjoy the Tokens of God's Favour, or bis Displeasure. Men upon Earth to claim an Authority over the Confciences and Salvation of others, is to forestal the Judgment of the last Day, and predetermine the in reversible Sentence of Christo Here you fart the remarkable Evafion of his Lord thip, and would have us believe that " he endeavours to clear himfelf from the Charge of denying all Charles Authority in this World, by declaring that he meant only to deny to Men all Autho rity

\$ Pere 75.

ing signific for

<sup>\*</sup> Answ. to Repr. p. 26. 7. || Page 33.

rity in the next; the Authority of judgned ing at the last Day, and passing the irreverfibles Sentence; i. e. you fay. + of doing that here, which cannot be done 'till bereafter. Yet some Men have claim'd fuch an Authority, abfurd and impious as it is; an Authority to do that here, which cannot be done 'till bereafter.

So that, I fear, a remarkable Evafion will be chargeable upon your felf and your Clients, the learned Committee, instead of his Lordship: For the' no Men do, in express Words, claim an Aueter. thority to judge at the last Day, and To pass the irreversible Sentence upon Christ-Gure ians; yet, by making their Declarations any and Decifions to affect the Salvation of rity Men; and by claiming an Authority to pass Sentences in this World, which of shall take Effect in another, they do imin plicitly predetermine the Judgment of you Christ, at the last Day, and oblige him ord to pass his irreversible Sentence accordthat ing to their own Passions, Prejudices, and Weaknesses.

the I applaud your Conduct, \* in decliarit ning to enter into the Merits of a Controversy, which would unavoidably

Fa-

ing

ord-

the

ects

rift-

oim-

ons,

the

to

Fa-

our.

ter-

st he

tho rity

:53

<sup>+</sup> Page 53. Page 103.

expose you and your Brethren, the Non-Turors, as well as your perjuring Clients and Subscribers: Should you once venture to open to the World the unwarrantable Extremes of those scrupulous conscientious Persons, and to defend their Terms of Church Power, and the Spiritual fatal Effects of their Church Censures, which they make Use of to frighten Men into a separate Communion; some weak Persons, even amongst your own Friends, might be deluded to think that the Bishop had Reason to oppose such Ecclesiastical Bullies, and impudent Pretenders to the Disposal of eternal Damnation.

I fear your Complaisance to the worthy Dean of Chichester, and too strong a Sense of Gratitude for a late Favour, has betray'd you into another remarkable Evasion. As the Dean has hazarded his own Character, in Order to exalt Yours, by fetting you forth to the World as a very considerable and unanswerable Writer; (tho', indeed, he afterwards feem'd to draw back, by refusing to be your Second) you now, I suppose, thought your self, in your Turn, oblig'd to hazard your Character, in Order to retrieve his, by defending an unwary Affertion of his at any Expence. His Words are these; The Effects

the fects of Spiritual Punishments, which the uring (burch inflicts, are generally suspended 'till you the Offender comes to another World. Upthose \* if a Sentence, passed by some weak and d to fallible Christians upon others, kas Effect and in another World; then the Condition of purch Christians is much worse than the Conf to dition in which St. Paul describes the nuni- Heathens to be, who are left to their ngst own Consciences, and to the righteous Judgided ment of God. Now, Sir, for your Help ason at a dead Lift: † Pray, my Lord, say and you, how does it follow, that if Spiritual of Punishments have any Effect in the other World, that then Offenders are not left for to the righteous Judgment of God? ong Again; if the Dean had intended to Fa- teach that Church-Censures have no Efre- feet, but such as the righteous Judghas ment of God gives them, how could be der have better signify'd his Intention, than rth by declaring that the Effects of such Punishments are generally suspended nd the Offender comes to another World? How could the Dean more expresty guard against any horrible Apprehensions of Church Censures, or more directly refer the Cause to God, than he has here done?

he by

W, ur

er, ng  $\mathbf{x}$ f-

Is

<sup>\*</sup> Answ. to Repr. p. 36.

<sup>+</sup> Reply, p. 106.

.. It feems, then, that the Dean was fo far from intending to affirm that Church-Censures (or the Censures of Some weak and fallible Christians upon others) will have Effect in another . World, that he intended directly to affirm the quite contrary; (viz.) that Church-Censures have no Effect in the other World; that the Church, indeed, has an Authority to judge, censure, punish, to fulminate, anathematize, excommunicate, and declare out of God's Favour; but that fuch Judgments, Cenfures, Punishments, Fulminations, Anathemas, Excommunications, and Declarations, do not one Tittle affect the Salvation of Christians, and will have no Effect in the other World; that Men are, notwithstanding all this, referv'd to their own Consciences, and to the righteous Judgment of God at the last Day. I rejoice that the Words are capable of fuch an Explication; I congratulate the Dean on such an Advocate, and I once more give you and your Clients Joy of an Authority which fignifies nothing.

Whether the Dean will stand by this Explication I know not; but what inclines me the more to believe that it is only a necessary Evasion, to get your Friend rid of a present Difficul-

was ty, is, that in your very next Section, that inder the Article of Excommunication, of you contradict all you had faid just up before, and resume to the Church an other Authority from Christ to take from Men af- the ordinary Means of Grace; to exclude, that and make Men Aliens from the Kingthe tom of God, with abundance to that eed, Effect; which is such palpable saying pu- and unfaying, and founds fo much like com- Mystery, that I suppose it is calculated. Fa- for those Persons, who love a great Cen-deal of what they do not comprehend, the- in their Religion.

ons, There is no disputing with a Man, of who argues for both Sides of the Quein stion at once, an Art which you feem not to have learn'd from a worthy Friend neir of yours, famous for that Practice; till, therefore, you shall think sit to maintain, that human Excommunications of have Effect in another World, or that the they have not, I am determin'd to nce give you no Answer under this Head.

We are now come to Church Authority, as it relates to external Communion. His Lordship says, \* that he knows of no Church Authority to oblige any Chri-Aians to external Communion; nor any Thing to determine them, but their own

# 2.p/3; p. 155.

oy

no-

by

at

at get 11y,

Consciences. In Answer to which, you tell us, \* that there is as much Authority to oblige Christians to external Communion, as there is against the Practice of STEALING; and by another clinching Parallel, prove all our Dissenters as great Rascals as House-breakers and High-Way-Men, which, consequently, implies that they ought to be hang'd. A Way of Reasoning excellently well suited to the Capacities of your Friends and Clients in Bridewell and Leaden-Hall Market!

But, Sir, as it respects the other World, I will venture to assirm, that there is no Authority to oblige Christians, nor any Thing to keep 'em from the Practice of Stealing, but their own Consciences. — Yes, say you, there is the express Authority of God against this Practice. And where, I pray, is the Disserence in saying, that we are kept from Stealing by Conscience, and in saying we are kept from it by the express Authority of God against that Practice? Is it not the express Authority of God, which acts upon our Consciences and determines us against the Practice of Stealing? Would Conscience have any Effect upon us, were it not for the express

<sup>\*</sup> Reply, p. 155.

express Authority of God? And here, methinks, I begin to smell out a third

remarkable Evasion; for,

YOU

etho-Com-

ctice

nch-

s as

igh-

lies

Vay

d to

Cli-

lar-

her

that

isti-

own e is

this

the

cept

res

ce?

and

of

the res

What has the Authority of God to do in this Argument? When his Lordthip faid, I know of no Church Authority to oblige any Christians, &c. I believe you are the only Person who did not understand him to mean no buman Church Authority; the only Perfon that dreamt he meant to deny the Authority of God. How impertinent, therefore, are you, when you argue, \* that because we are called upon by the Authority of GOD to embrace the Christian Religion,--- therefore there is an buman Authority to oblige Christians to one particular Form of external Communion? For the' we are call'd upon by the Authority of God to embrace the Christian Religion; yet there is no Authority in Men to oblige any one to embrace it by any Methods, but Reason and Perswasion. And again tho' we are call'd upon by the Authority of God to join in external Communion, yet is there in Men no Authority (no buman temporal Authority) to oblige us to one particular Form.

But

FOI 4 .90% \*

<sup>\*</sup> Rep. p. 160, 1.

But your Argument proceeds against his Lordship, as if he had deny'd all Church Authority (if it may be so call'd) i. e. all Authority of God, all Scripture Authority to oblige to external Communion; and would have it granted (tho' you do not, indeed, expressly affirm it) that because there is a dirvine Scripture Authority to oblige to external Communion, therefore there is an buman Authority; that buman Authority belongs only to the Church of England, and all Dissenters from us are Offenders against the Authority of God.

Here lies your third remarkable Evasion: His Lordship knows of no human
Church Authority to oblige Christians to
external Communion, nor any Thing to
determine them, but their own Consciences. You dexterously drop the Words,
but their own Consciences, and so make
his Lordship deny all divine Scripture
Authority for external Communion, and
declare it not to be the Duty of Christians to join in any.

Thus you argue, \* that his Lordship cannot answer for his joining in external Communion in the Church of England.
Why not? Because there is no human Authority

\* Rep. p. 16e, 1.

<sup>\*</sup> Rep. p. 167.

ift

all fo

111

r

it-

ly

li-

to

15

11-

ot.

re

NA

a-

n

to

to

11-

S,

se

re

d

it

43

ip.

7-

d.

n

ty

Authority to oblige him to it, nothing to determine him but his own Confcience? Do not the Words, but their own Confciences, imply a Scripture Authority? Do they not imply that it is our Duty to join in some Form of external Communion, altho' the Godly Act de Heretico comburendo is unhappily repealed?

Your whole Argument, under this Head, goes upon the false Supposition that his Lordship denies all Authority for external Communion, (divine as well as buman) that he condemns all Forms of external Communion, and vindicates all Separations. A politick Method of

arguing, when Truth glares too strong-

ly in ones Face to be withstood fair-

Thus much for external Communion. The next Points are Sincerity and private Judgment. You suppose it may be \* objected, that by your denying (or rather seeming to deny) all human Authority to oblige to external Communion, you have resolv'd the Choice of a particular Communion into private Judgment. Really, Sir, I think it may be very reasonably objected; for, if the Necessity of external Communion does not arise

<sup>\*</sup> Rep. p. 153.

arife from buman Laws, we cannot be oblig'd to any particular Communion by buman Laws; and if we are not, I think it pretty plain, that we are left to the Direction of private Judgment, in the Choice of a particular Communication.

t

t

CA

E m

v

to

W

to

co

or

A

A

of

Ai

for fol

it

W

left

nion: But you answer,

First, that by entering into any particular Communion, we are to understand the same Thing as entering into the Church of Christ, or embracing the Religion which Christ has instituted. By which, I suppose, you mean, that we must not enter into any particular Communion, but what is exactly modell'd according to the Church of Christ. If so, who is to judge which particular Communion that is? Certainly, our selves and our own Consciences: Yet you afterwards call private Judgment in this Matter ridiculous.

adly, You say, That when Christ came into the World, People were left to their Choice whether they would embrace Christianity: Very true; which I think a strong Reason why we have no Authority to persecute Men into Christianity; much less into any particular Form of Christianity; since our Saviour left it to the Choice of People, whether they would embrace Christianity or not, i. e.

i. e. left it to their Consciences and pri-

vate Judgment.

be by

I

eft

nt,

u-

ti-

nd be

3y

ve

n-

'd

st.

y,

s:

g-

ne

ir i-

a

1i-

21

ir

er t,

e.

3dly, That Christianity is still upon the same Terms with Mankind, and it is still left to every one's private Judgment, whether he will comply with the Terms of Salvation. Why then are you afraid to resolve the Choice of Religion into private Judgment, any more than Christ was, since you allow that Christianity is still upon the same Terms?

4thly, That this does not destroy the Force and Obligations of Authority, or make it without any Effect upon the Condition of Men. So that all our private Judgment is dwindled, at last, into this; that if we act contrary to it, we are felf-condemn'd, tho' we happen to be in the Right; and if we act according to it, whether in the Right or in the Wrong, we disown buman Authority, and by difowning buman Authority, we disown the Authority of God, who substituted that buman Authority; thus shall we be damn'd for our private Judgment, whether we follow it or not, whether we follow it in the Right, or follow it in the Wrong. perfwaded

5thly, There is a Choice of Judgment left to us in every Part of our Duty.

Whether we will believe a God, Whether we will worship bim, Whether we will believe in Fefus Christ, Whether we will acknowledge a World to come.

Whether we will believe there is such a Place as Hell.

Thus from private Judgment, in the Choice of a particular Christian Communion, are we come to the Belief of all Religion both natural and reveal'd. There is certainly an Authority for the Belief of these Things; but still only Sincerity will justify Men before God in the Belief of 'em; and ic a Man had as good disbelieve 'em, un-

less he believes them with Sincerity.

b

ren

But this Doctrine has been fo fully explain'd and defended by many in-genious Hands (whom you have over-look'd) that I will not prefume to ar-gue with you upon it, nor endeavour to make a blind Man see. Your own to Arguments confute you, for after your egunwilling Concession, \* That Corruption he in Religion is no Justification of those ter who leave it, unless they are perswaded o of that Corruption, - and, that they who ren are fully perswaded that a Religion is Mi sinful, are oblig'd to separate from it, tho' it

<sup>#</sup> Rep. p. 2030

respondent be sinful. You must be very weak your self, or think us so, if, by your nonsensical Jargon of \* idle Sincerity, and vain Sincerity, and whimsical Sincerity, and Sincerity sounded in Pride and Worldly Interest, in the Follies, Passions, and Prejudices of human Nature (that is, insincere Sincerity) you think to impose on any but hose who are labouring to banish all real well-grounded Sincerity out of Religion.

ſŧ,

ld

ch

he

n-

ef

re-

0-

5; Last of all, Sir, you undertake, in en word or two, to shew that your Nond lions of Churches, Church Authority, Exn- communication, external Communion, Sinly resit, and private Judgment, are perfect-y consistent with — (Risum teneatis?) in he Principles of the Resormation. What your Opinion of the Reformation is, may be seen by your Parallel between ur t and the Revolution, and why (after wn o mafterly a Stroke upon the Sacriegious Transaction, by comparing it with he Revolution, which you openly conofe temn) you should, at last, endeavour led o reconcile your Doctrines to it, is be very aftonishing; unless you had a Mind to shew your Dexterity in Conroversy, by proving, with a Legerde-G 2 main

<sup>\*</sup> Page 211, 12, 13, 14.

main Word or two, that Black is White, and a Mole-Hill as big as a Mountain.

I have carefully examin'd this reconciling Word or two, and can call it nothing but a short Recapitulation of all the Absurdities, Inconsistencies, and Contradictions, thro' your whole Book. Give me Leave to add my Word or two to your Word or two, and to take my Leave.

In Answer to his Lordship's Argument, that \* if there be a Church Authority to oblige People to external Communion, the Reformation it self cannot

be justify'd, you say,

First, that this Argument goes upon a false Supposition, namely, that it is the Laws of any Men, which obliges us to external Communion. I grant it; No Laws of any Men can oblige us to external Communion: His Lordship contends that it is a false Supposition, and argues, that if it was not a false Supposition, the Reformation it self could not be justify'd.

1

1

1

2

(

f

1

6

Secondly, That there may be a great and real Authority, which obliges us to external Communion, tho' this Authority be not founded in human Laws. I grant this too; (viz.) a divine Scripture Authority:

Anfw. to Repr. p. 118.

thority: His Lordship is so far from denying it, that he enter'd into the Controversy in Defence of this great and real Authority, in Opposition to the pretended Authority of any Men. Herein confifts one of your remarkable Evafions. sessing a minimum of doub moon

ite,

on-

10-

all

on-

ok.

720

ny

gu-1u-

m-

not

a

the

to

No

X-

n-

n, lfe

ld

eat

to

ity

nt

u-

y:

\*

Thirdly, you fay, That if the Laws of Men in this Affair of Religion command Things indifferent, they are to be obey'd for the Authority of the Com-mand; if they enjoin Things in their own Nature Good, the Necessity of Obedience is greater; but if they command Things unlawful, we are not to comply, but obey God rather than Men. To this, alfo, I agree, and fo does his Lordship; it is the very Tenour of his late Doctrines.

Fourthly, The Question, therefore, at the Reformation was not, whether the Laws of the Pope or the Prince were on the Side of the Church of Rome, but whether that Faith and those Institutions, which constitute the Christian Religion, was with the Reformers or the Papills. Good God! that any Man should offer four fuch Reasons against the Bishop of Bangor, or pretend, at the fame Time, to differ from him! They do, indeed, shew that his Lordship's Dodrines are perfectly confisent with the Principles

Principles of the Reformation; but how they shew yours to be so, I am at a Loss even to guess. This it is, to have a natural Aversion to Weighting of Thoughts!

I will conclude with some Queries upon such remaining Passages in your Book, as seem to me a plain Consutation of your own Doctrines, instead of proving them persetly consistent with the

Principles of the Reformations

Query 1. \* If we are not oblig'd to join in any Communion we happen to be born under, but in that Method which Christ has instituted; whether we are not left to our own Consciences, and to impartial private Judgment in the Choice of that Method?

2. † If you condemn all buman Church Authority to oblige to external Communion, whether you oppose his Lordship, whose Design was plainly to vindicate the divine Authority of Christ, and to condemn all buman Church Authority in Matters of Conscience?

3. || If the Authority, which obliges to external Communion, be not founded in any human Laws; whether it ought to be enforced with human Sanctions?

4. \* How

1

(

n

iı

0

ci

E

fi

ce

W

to

fe

to

to

rei

he

\*

<sup>\*</sup> Reply, p. 217. + Page Ditto.

Rage Ditto.

4. \* How his Lordship has discarded the instituted Terms of Salvation from the fusification of Christians, by refering to the Scriptures and their own Consciences? And how he has absolved em from the Authority of God, in the Choice of Religion, by absolving em from the Authority of Men?

with the Principles of the Reformation, to infift upon the NECESSITY of entering into Communion with the Church

of England?

6. Whether, according to the Principles of the Reformation, the Church of England is the only true Church of

Christ.

7

1

ė

4

5

t

f

e

0

e

b

e

d

e

ħ

1-

p,

te

0

i-

es

ed

ıt

W

If you are not, your self, at Leisure to satisfy me in these Particulars,
I believe your Non-juring Sister, Mrs.
Anne Roberts, who lately engaged the
celebrated Author of the Flying Post
with so much Success, will undertake
to do it for you, if you apply your
self to her; for she seems, at present,
to want Employment, and it may serve
to keep her Hand in, 'till some Heretick or other enters the Lists with
her, in the Quinquarticular Controver-

<sup>\*</sup> Page 221. ) 1111115

Page 223.

fy. You may direct to her at St. John's College in Oxford.

Your last Sentence is worth remarking; it would have been extraordinary, indeed, if, after so many labour'd Passages against Sincerity, you should, at last, make any Declarations about your own. You have something better to rely on, than the frail Justification of a sincere good Conscience; you have the Mouths, the Hearts, and the Purses of a discontented Multitude.

I have now, I hope, demonstrated the Use of Subscriptions in Controverfy; and, I believe, every one will allow, that even your self, as considerable a Writer as you are, would not have been thought unanswerable without 'emerged and an investment of the

Wholly confin'd my felf to strict Reafoning, and the Merits of the Cause; but have, thro' the Course of my Letter, intermingled personal Research, and satyrical Turns of Wit and Raillery with my Arguments; that I am sometimes in Jest, and sometimes in Enroest; that in one Page I dispute soberly on your Doctrines, and in another pervert your Meaning to humorous Purposes. But I trust for Pardon, since I was determin'd to this Method

0

 $\mathsf{bf}$ 

n

vei

ria

t

eit

Al

est

he

bn's

rk

et-

fti-

e;

nd

0.11

ed

er-

al-

a-

ot

b-

fu

ot

a-

3

t<sub>7</sub>

8,

1-

n

n

e

Method by fo considerable a Writer as our felf.

rdi-You will also observe, that I have ir'd nly fingled out bere and there a Senıld, ence, and sometimes but a Piece of a out entence, without taking any Notice f your subsequent Reasonings upon : But herein, also, I follow your example; and am therefore, I hope, ardonable. You, indeed, call your look A Reply to the Bishop of, &c. but t is, evidently, nothing but a Declanation upon some few particular Pasages of it. You do not, under any ne Head which you handle, follow is Lordship thro' his Chain of Aruments, but pick out a Sentence which you think most for your Purpose, and etting it forth by it felf, without ny Circumstances or Connection, defcant upon it for forty or fifty Pages ogether. So that your Book, instead of A Reply, &c. ought to have been ntituled, A Collection of Essays on several Subjects, relating to the Bangorian Controversy.

I must repeat it to you, that I have t not in my Thoughts to convince either you or any of your Subscribers: Alas! your Character is now fo well establish'd amongst 'em, that it would he arrant Folly and Presumption in

me

me to attack it; or even to thin that any true Church-Man would fut of fer himself to be consuted by the crude Arguments of a notorious flating

grant Free-thinker.

You grow every Day more and mor Vi in Vogue amongst us, and have, by he your late Writings, gain'd such profes digious Reputation, that you are really even by Persons who never read an ha Thing besides. Many an airy Gentle man-Commoner has turn'd hard Studen on your Account, has almost ever n Page of your Book at his Fingers Ends a and instead of telling us who has the richest Silk-Gown, or the smartest Tye Wigg in the University, or what Sui P Belinda appear'd in the last Ball, (the usual Topicks of his Discourse) dis putes very fluently and learnedly and bout Church Authority, and Excommunof nication. Nay, you are become the h Tea-Table Conversation of our most ce m lebrated Toasts, (those Enemies to Pe Redantry;) and even our grave Tutors recommend You to their Pupils, instead of Euclid and Aristotle.

I could mention to you one of your a Subscribers, (Bob Semivir) a noted Distribution of great Credit in this University, who is very often to be seen of the Bowling-Green, and very seldom

thin pot-Soldier, in all Companies, that fur ou are the very Prodigy of the Age. In. L—aw (fays he, with a foft lanfla uishing Tone, and a passionate Thump in the Table) is an una—nswerable more Vriter by Ga—d; he is, Ga—d Dembre! The same worthy Person has been protected any any Man sive Guineas, by Ga—d, hat our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ is God and Man, Ga—d Demme; and the resistible Argument against our Deists are ind Socinians, who, for the most Part, and are very little Money to lay Waters with.

The first Thing a young Fellow has been of do after he gets into Orders (if the would have a Name in the Uni-

The first Thing a young Fellow has but to do after he gets into Orders (if the would have a Name in the Unidistressity) is to preach a tickling Sermon (as we call it) against the Bishop of Bangor; and if he can wipe off the Government too handsomly, so much the better. This is a sovereign Remedy for a crazy Reputation, and infallibly takes away from a Man's calcharacter those little Spots and Blemishes which it is apt to contract by a continued long Course of bad Living. It also cures Perjury and Dulness. Probatum est.

Minggred H and Ma Market Market Toron Lewis and Market Mar

on

But

But having observ'd some raw Preach ers very aukward at calling Names, an mere Fumblers in Scandal, I though it might be of Use to such Persons to subjoin to this Letter a short Vo cabulary of synonymous Appellations and suited to the present Occasion (collected out of the most authentick Writers.)

You may, perhaps, in a short Time hear farther from me; at present will subscribe my self (according to the modern genteel Way of Quarrel ling,)

Your hearty Well-wisher, and

(where the publick Good does not intervene

call it) a

most obedient humble Servant,

Philalethes Oxoniensis

P. S. A great many strange Faces have been seen here of late; we hope they mean well to the Church.

bean's Cata pi

Т

tu

<sup>\*</sup> The Reverend Dr. Sacheverell, Dr. Snape, Dr. Dawson, Dr. Sherlock, Dr. Mangey, Mr. Frapp, Mr. Marsden, Mr. Hilliard, Mr. Law, Tom Lewis, the Reverend Author of the Scourge, &c.

ach

an 1gh

ons Vo

ons ion A Catalogue of Synonimous Appellations, for the Use of young Preachers and Orators in both Universties.

ck Whices, Round-Heads, King-killers, Rebels, Antimonarchial Biots, Republicans, Hypocrites, Presby-erians, Bold Galileans, Fire-Brands, Im-postors, Innovators, Hottentots, FALSE rel BRETHREN, Fanaticks, Wolves in Sheep's Cloathing, Sectarians, Seducers, Caterpillars, Self-opiniators, Enemies to Peace and Union, Civil and Ecclefiafti-cal Achitophels, Rogues, Rafcals, Vil-ains, Monsters, Hell-Hounds, Scepticks, Levellers, Enemies to Religion and Revelation, Missionaries of the Prince of Darkness, Lovers of Anarchy, Scoffers, fis Time-fervers, and Gainsayers, Not-of-bis-World Men, Dull and Heavy DEVILS, Diabolical Madmen, Knight Errants in Religion, Antichristian Casuists, the Pope's Journey-Men, Roman Engineers, Apostates, Pagans, Mahometans, Vipers, Zealots against Zeal, Zealots for Comprehension, Religious Vermin, Calvinists, Lutherans, Quietists, Inquietists, Jesuits, Comprehension, Religious Vermin, Calvinists, Lutherans, Quietists, Inquietists, Jesuits, Comprehension, Religious Vermin, Calvinists, Longo Thinkers, Erge Thinkers, Longo Thinkers, Erge Thinkers, Longo Thinker Con Loose Thinkers, Free-Thinkers, Lati-Mr. tudinarians, Half-Conformists, ConConformists, Half-Believers, Unbelievers, Insidels, Hereticks, Schismaticks, Unitarians, Sons of Corab, Blasphemers, Blaspheming Rabshekes, Arians, Enthusiasts, Socinians, Deists, Atheists, Hugonots, Protestants, Bangorians.

Proos. Round Monds Min- Villers! Robelt, Artimonaychi 1 712 Norableans, Hypochices, Praflermans, Bold Galfleans, Hire Brands, Imoffere in Z Trok of the A clyes in deep's Cloubing, S. Carians, Seducers, beergillers Self-coinistars Ruemies to race and Union, Civil and Ecclellani-MAchitopheist Rogues, Rafeals, Vilins. Monfers, Hell-Hounds, Sceptistics oole Thinkers, Proc. I hinkers, Matidinarians, Haif-Conformida, & Non-(.013